

ACCELERATED REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT OF EXPANSION JOINTS

**Quarterly Progress Report
For the period ending June 1, 2018**

Submitted by:

PI – An Chen, Behrouz Shafei, Brent Phares, Charles Jahren
Graduate Student – Elizabeth Miller

**Affiliation: Department of Civil, Construction and Environmental Engineering
Iowa State University
Ames, IA**



**ACCELERATED BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION
UNIVERSITY TRANSPORTATION CENTER**

Submitted to:
ABC-UTC
Florida International University
Miami, FL

1. Background and Introduction

Accelerated Bridge Construction (ABC) initiative is changing the way that bridges are built across the country. Accounting for an ever-increasing number of vehicles traveling over the nation's roads, reducing lane closure times has been identified as an integral part of ABC techniques and practices. In recent years, extensive research has been conducted on ABC. However, less attention has been devoted to accelerated repair and replacement of bridge deck expansion joints.

Many of the aging multiple span bridges utilize some form of expansion joint to properly counteract thermal movement and prevent stress buildup. The majority of these expansion joints require frequent repair and multiple replacements during the normal service life of a bridge. Typical deck expansion joint replacement involves traffic interference and lane closure ranging from a few days to multiple weeks. Over the years, extensive research has been done to improve the longevity of these joints, but oftentimes has been met with limited success. Eliminating deck joints instead of replacing them is a suitable option for bridges with moderate length and can be done in an accelerated fashion and minimize traffic interruption. However, for bridges requiring expansion joints, there is a dire need for accelerated replacement options/techniques, especially in areas with high AADT and limited time for lane closures.

To address this issue, this project will develop methods for accelerated replacement and elimination of bridge deck expansion joints. The constructability of these methods will be evaluated based on experimental testing. A cost analysis will be conducted, and a guide/manual for bridge engineers will be developed.

2. Problem Statement

Bridge deck expansion joints are used to allow for the movement of the bridge deck due to thermal expansion and dynamic loading. They can also prevent the passage of winter de-icing chemicals and other corrosives applied to bridge decks from penetrating and damaging substructure components of the bridge. Expansion joints are often one of the first components of a bridge deck to fail. Therefore, repairing or replacing expansion joints is essential to extending the life of any bridge. Extensive research has been conducted and several expansion joint replacement options have been studied (e.g., Baker Engineering, 2006; Palle et al., 2011). However, these options typically involve extensive traffic interference and lane closure. Therefore, there is a need for accelerated replacement options and techniques, especially in areas with high AADT and limited time for lane closures.

3. Research Approach and Methods

The objectives of this research are to: (1) conduct a literature review on replacement and elimination of bridge deck expansion joints; (2) develop methods for accelerated bridge expansion joint replacement and elimination; and (3) promote ABC for bridge deck expansion joint repair.

4. Description of Research Project Tasks

The following is a description of tasks carried out to date.

Task 1 – Literature Review

Proposed task description

The research team has conducted literature reviews on current means and methods of expansion joint maintenance, replacement, and elimination in Iowa and other states. In this task, the research team will conduct a more in-depth review, with the focus on accelerated repair and replacement of bridge deck expansion joints. Documents that will be reviewed include published literature including academic journals, international journals, trade publications, product literature and Internet web pages. The content of articles related to the research will be summarized for use in the final report and a reference list will be developed.

Description of work performed up to this period

The literature review is ongoing. The review focused on failure modes of commonly used expansion joints, repair methods of expansion joints, and replacement of expansion joints. Different concrete removal methods were studied, such as conventional mechanical methods (saw cutting/pneumatic hammering) and hydrodemolition. Additionally, concrete mixes with high-early-strength properties, such as UHPC, elastomeric concrete, and magnesium phosphate cement, were reviewed.

The review found that many states are using hydrodemolition techniques instead of, or often times in addition to, conventional mechanical methods. When looking at concrete mix types, some DOTs are looking towards elastomeric concrete. In one particular example, Virginia DOT has designed an elastomeric concrete plug joint, allowing construction to be completed lane by lane in an efficient manner. After the first summer/winter cycle, VDOT has experienced good performance results. DOTs are also starting to use UHPC connections. Although normally used for precast bridge elements to connect modular panels, the panels with the connection emulate the performance of a typical cast-in-place concrete bridge deck. New York State DOT has used both longitudinal and transverse field-cast UHPC connections. Magnesium Phosphate Cement (MPC) has been used by Alaska, Maryland, and Virginia DOTs for bridge deck patching and overlays, as well as for precast panel connections. These DOTs have experienced good performance with MPC in these capacities.

Task 2 – Develop methods for accelerated expansion joint replacement

Proposed task description

In this task, bottlenecks in the expansion joint replacement process will be identified. Different methods and options for accelerated expansion joint replacement for common types of expansion

joints, such as strip seal, finger joint, modular, etc., will be developed by addressing the identified bottlenecks. Different demolition methods, including hydrodemolition, handheld pneumatic breakers, etc. will be evaluated. After evaluating the properties of different materials and demolition methods, methods for accelerated expansion joint replacement will be developed.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

Task 3 – Perform experimental studies to confirm constructability

Proposed task description

To confirm the constructability of the methods developed from Task 2, experimental studies will be conducted. First, expansion joints mimicking the real condition will be constructed. The testing specimens will include bridge decks connected by an expansion joint, which are supported by steel girders. Next, the joint will be replaced following the methods developed from Task 2. Loading tests will be conducted on both old and new joints, and the responses from these tests will be compared. In this way, the constructability and the effectiveness of the methods developed from Task 2 can be evaluated.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

Task 4 – Provide accelerated options where expansion joint elimination is feasible

Proposed task description

Eliminating deck joints instead of replacement is a suitable option for bridges with moderate length. For joints at the abutment interface, they can be relocated into the approach slab. Accelerated methods for this option can be developed by using a precast concrete slab as a new approach slab. For joints at the piers, link slabs can be implemented to eliminate the joints. Other accelerated options include beam end encasements, closure joint/diaphragm, etc.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

Task 5 – Cost analysis of the various options

Proposed task description

With the methods for bridge deck expansion joint replacement and elimination developed in the previous tasks, the research team will conduct a comprehensive cost analysis on these options. Possible cost saving practices and materials can be identified in the process and incorporated in the guide/manual for bridge engineers.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

Task 6 – Develop design guide/manual for bridge engineers

Proposed task description

Based on the outcome of the first five tasks for this project, the research team will propose a guide/manual for bridge engineers. The draft guide/manual will be distributed to the Transportation Advisory Council (TAC) and several other identified potential users for review and comments. Once reviews and comments are received, the guide/manual document will be modified to its final form.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

Task 7 – Final research report

Proposed task description

A research report will be completed detailing the research process, including information regarding the literature review, the development of the expansion joint replacement and elimination options, the experimental studies, the comprehensive cost analysis, and the guide/manual for bridge engineers.

Description of work performed up to this period

No work has been performed on this task up to this period.

5. Expected Results and Specific Deliverables

1. ABC-UTC guide/manual for bridge engineers

A guide/manual for bridge engineers will be developed based on the options and methods developed. After distributing the guide/manual to the TAC and other identified potential users, revisions will be made, and a final form will be submitted.

2. A five-minute video summarizing the project

The research team will provide a five-minute video summarizing the findings from this project.

3. Final research report

A research report will be provided detailing the research processes and results.

6. Schedule

Progress of tasks in this project is shown in the table below.

Month	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Anticipated	2018								2019										
	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	
Task																			
1: Literature Review																			
2: Method Development																			
3: Experimental Studies																			
4: Provide Options																			
5: Cost Analysis																			
6: Design Guide/Manual																			
7: Final Report																			
		Work performed																	
		Work to be performed																	

7. References

Baker Engineering. 2006. *Evaluation of Various Types of Bridge Deck Joints*. For Arizona Department of Transportation. Phoenix, AZ.

Balakumaran, et al. 2016. *Elastomeric Concrete Plug Joints: A New Durable Bridge Expansion Joint Design*. Virginia Department of Transportation.

Carroll, C., and Juneau, A. 2015. *Repair of Concrete Bridge Deck Expansion Joints Using Elastomeric Concrete*. American Society of Civil Engineers.

Ding, Z., and Li, Z. 2005. *High-Early-Strength Magnesium Phosphate Cement with Fly Ash*. ACI Materials Journal. 102(6), pp. 375-381.

Gergely, J., Ogunro, V., and Manus, M. 2009. *Material Property and Quality Control Specifications for Elastomeric Concrete Used at Bridge Deck Joints*. University of North Carolina, Charlotte, NC.

Graybeal, Benjamin. 2010. *Behavior of Field-Cast Ultra-High Performance Concrete Bridge Deck Connections Under Cyclic and Static Structural Loading*. Federal Highway Administration.

Jahren, C., et al. 2014. *Rapid Replacement of Bridge Deck Expansion Joints Study – Phase I*, Institute of Transportation, Iowa State University.

Jahren, C., et al. 2015. *Rapid Replacement of Bridge Deck Expansion Joints Study – Phase II*, Institute of Transportation, Iowa State University.

Palle, S., Hopwood, T., Meade, B. 2011. *Improved Bridge Expansion Joints*. Kentucky Transportation Center. University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY.

Wenzlick, John. 2002. *Hydrodemolition and Repair of Bridge Decks*. Missouri Department of Transportation, Jefferson, Missouri.